

## FORM 26. Docketing Statement

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS  
FOR THE FEDERAL CIRCUITNo. 13-1021, 1022

Oracle America, Inc.

v.

Google Inc.

## DOCKETING STATEMENT

This Docketing Statement must be completed by all counsel and filed with the court within 14 days of the date of docketing. When the United States or its officer or agency is a party, this Docketing Statement must be completed by all counsel and filed with the court within 30 days of docketing.

Name of the party you represent Google Inc.

Party is (select one)

Appellant/Petitioner  Cross-Appellant  
 Appellee/Respondent  Intervenor

Tribunal appealed from and Case No. USDC for N.D. California, Case No. 10-cv-03561Date of Judgment/Order 6/20/2012, 9/4/2012 Type of Case Patent / Copyright

Relief sought on appeal

Affirm judgment to extent adverse to Oracle. Reverse Order re Google's motion for JMOL or New Trial. Vacate judgment to extent adverse to Google.

Relief awarded below (if damages, specify)

Judgment of copyright infringement of the rangeCheck code in TimSort.java and ComparableTimSort.java, and the eight decompiled files (seven "Impl.java" files and one "ACL" file), as to which judgment for Oracle and against Google is entered in the amount of zero dollars (as per the parties' stipulation). *See* Final Judgment (Dkt. 1211)

Briefly describe the judgment/order appealed from

Final Judgment entered June 20, 2012 (Dkt. 1211)

Order Denying Motion for Judgment as Matter of Law and New Trial, entered September 4, 2012 (Dkt. 1242)

## Form 26

## FORM 26. Docketing Statement (continued)

Nature of judgment (select one)

Final Judgment, 28 USC 1295

Rule 54(b)

Interlocutory Order (specify type) \_\_\_\_\_

Other (explain; *see* Fed. Cir. R. 28(a)(5)) \_\_\_\_\_

Name and docket number of any related cases pending before this court plus the name of the writing judge if an opinion was issued \_\_\_\_\_

NONE (Case Nos. 13-1021 and 13-1022 have been consolidated)

Brief statement of the issues to be raised on appeal \_\_\_\_\_

Without limitation, whether the district court should have granted judgment as a matter of law for Google on Oracle's claim for copyright infringement as to the rangeCheck code in TimSort.java and ComparableTimSort.java, and the eight decompiled files (seven "Impl.java" files and one "ACL" file).

Have there been discussions with other parties relating to settlement of this case?

 Yes  No

If "yes," when were the last such discussions?

Before the case was filed below?

During the pendency of the case below?

Following the judgment/order appealed from?

If "yes," were the settlement discussions mediated?

 Yes  No

If they were mediated, by whom? \_\_\_\_\_

Magistrate Judge Paul Grewal, United States District Court for the Northern District of California

## FORM 26. Docketing Statement (continued)

Do you believe that this case may be amenable to mediation?  Yes  No

If you answered no, explain why not \_\_\_\_\_

---

Provide any other information relevant to the inclusion of this case in the court's mediation program. \_\_\_\_\_

---

I certify that I filed an original and one copy of this Docketing Statement with the Clerk of the United States Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit and served a copy on counsel of record, this 2nd day of November, 2012

by: ECF \_\_\_\_\_  
(manner of service)

Robert Van Nest

Name of Counsel

/s/ Robert Van Nest

Signature of Counsel

Law Firm Keker & Van Nest LLP

Address 633 Battery St.

City, State, ZIP San Francisco, CA 94111

Telephone Number (415) 391-5400

FAX Number (415) 397-7188

E-mail Address Rvannest@KVN.com

**United States Court of Appeals  
for the Federal Circuit**

ORACLE AMERICA, INC. v. GOOGLE INC., Nos. 2013-1021, -1022

**CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I, Robyn Cocho, being duly sworn according to law and being over the age of 18, upon my oath depose and say that:

Counsel Press was retained by KEKER & VAN NEST LLP, Attorneys for Defendant-Cross-Appellant to print this document. I am an employee of Counsel Press.

On **November 2, 2012**, Counsel for Cross-Appellant has authorized me to electronically file the foregoing **Docketing Statement** with the Clerk of Court using the CM/ECF System, which will serve via e-mail notice of such filing to any of the following counsel registered as CM/ECF users:

E. JOSHUA ROSENKRANZ  
jrosenkranz@orrick.com  
ORRICK, HERRINGTON &  
SUTCLIFFE LLP  
51 West 52<sup>nd</sup> Street  
New York, NY 10019  
(212) 506-5151

MARK S. DAVIES  
mark.davies@orrick.com  
ORRICK, HERRINGTON &  
SUTCLIFFE LLP  
1152 15<sup>TH</sup> Street, NW  
Columbia Center  
Washington, DC 20005  
(202) 339-8631

ANNETTE L. HURST  
ahurst@orrick.com  
ORRICK, HERRINGTON &  
SUTCLIFFE LLP  
405 Howard Street  
San Francisco, CA 94105  
(415) 773-4585

GABRIEL M. RAMSEY  
gramsey@orrick.com  
ORRICK, HERRINGTON &  
SUTCLIFFE LLP  
1000 Marsh Road  
Menlo Park, CA 94025  
(650) 614-7400

DALE M. CENDALI  
dale.cendali@kirkland.com  
JOSHUA L. SIMMONS  
joshua.sommons@kirkland.com  
KIRKLAND & ELLIS LLP  
601 Lexington Avenue  
Citigroup Center  
New York, NY 10022  
(212) 446-4800

MICHALE A. JACOBS  
mjacobs@mofo.com  
MORRISON & FOERSTER LLP  
425 Market Street  
San Francisco, CA 94105  
(415) 68-7178

DIANA M. TORRES  
diana.torres@kirkland.com  
KIRKLAND & ELLIS LLP  
333 S. Hope Street  
Los Angeles, CA 90071  
(213) 680-8400

*Attorneys for Plaintiff-Appellant*

Paper copies will also be mailed to the above counsel.

November 2, 2012

/s/ Robyn Cocho  
Counsel Press